

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

DEC 3 1 2009

Lynn Gilbert Treasurer, Cannon for Congress 190 West 800 North Suite 150 Provo, Utan 84601

RE: MUR 6235

Cannon for Congress and

Lynn Gilbert, in her official capacity as

Treasurer

Dear Ms. Gilbert:

In the normal course of carrying out its supervisory responsibilities, the Federal Election Commission (the "Commission") became aware of information suggesting Cannon for Congress ("Committee") and you, in your official capacity as treasurer, may have violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"). On Dacember 1, 2009, the Commission found that there is reason to believe that the Committee and you, in your official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f), a prevision of the Act, and 11 C.F.R. §§ 102.9(e)(3), 110.1(b)(3), and 110.2(b)(3). Enclosed is the Factual and Legal Analysis that sets forth the basis for the Commission's determination.

We have ulto enclosed a brief description of the Commission's presedures for handling possible violations of the Act. In sufficien, please note that you have a legal obligation to preserve all dominants, ascends and materials missing to this matter until such time as you are notified that the Commission has closed its file in this matter. See 18 U.S.C. § 1519. In the -meantime, this vestior will maintaneouslidential in accommission with 2 U.S.C. §§ 437g(a)(4)(B) and 437g(a)(12)(A), unless you matify the Commission in uniting that you wish the investigation to be made public.

In order to expedite the resolution of this matter, the Commission has authorized the Office of the General Counsel to enter into negotiations directed towards reaching a conciliation agreement in settlement of this matter prior to a finding of probable cause to believe. Preprobable cause conciliation is not mandated by the Act or the Commission's regulations, but is a voluntary step in the enforcement process that the Commission is offering to you as a way to resolve this matter at an early stage and without the next for briefing the figure of whether or not the Commission should find prescribe cause to believe that you viblated the law. Enclosed is a consideration agreement for your consideration.

MUR 6235 Letter to Lynn Gilbert Page 2 of 2

If you are interested in engaging in pre-probable cause conciliation, please contact Joshua Smith, the attorney assigned to this natter, at (202) 694-1630 or (800) 424-9530, within seven days of receipt of this letter. During considering, you may submit any factual or legal materials that you believe are relevant to the resolution of this matter. Because the Commission only enters into pre-probable cause conciliation in matters that it believes have a reasonable opportunity for settlement, we may proceed to the next step in the enforcement process if a mutually acceptable conciliation agreement cannot be reached within sixty days. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a), 11 C.F.R. Part 111 (Subpart A). Conversely, if you are not interested in pre-probable cause conciliation, the Commission may conduct formal discovery in this matter or proceed to the next step in the enforcement process. Flease note that once the Commission enters the next step in the enforcement process, it may decline to engage in further petilement discussions antil after making a probable masse finding.

If you intend to be represented by counsel in this matter, please advise the Commission by completing the enclosed Designation of Counsel form stating the name, address, and telephone number of such counsel, and authorizing such counsel to receive any notifications and other communications from the Commission.

We look forward to your response.

On behalf of the Commission,

Steven T. Walther

Chairman

Enclosures
Factual and Legal Analysis

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

Respondents: Cannon for Congress and
Lynn Gilbert, in her official espacity as Treasurer

MUR: 6235

I. INTRODUCTION

2	This matter involves \$113,996.50 in general election contributions accepted by
3	Cannon for Congress and Lynn Gilbert, in her official vapacity as treasurer ("the
4	Committee"), the principal campaign committee of Chris Cannon, during the 2008
5	primary election. Chris Cennon served six terms in the House of Representativos,
6	representing the 3 rd Congressional District of Utah. On June 24, 2008, Cannon lost a
7	Republican primary race to challenger Jason Chaffetz. The contributions identified in
8	this referral consist of \$113,996.50 that were designated for the 2008 general election, but
9	that were not redesignated, reattributed, or refunded within 60 days of the date of the
10	primary loss. Of this amount, \$75,300 in general election contributions became
11	excessive after Cannon lost the primary election. Another \$38,696.50 was eligible for
12	redesignation or reattribution. The Committee failed to refund, redesignate, or reattribute
13	these funds within 60 days after the primary election.
14	As described believe, the Commission finds season to believe that Conson for
15	Congress and Lyrn Gilbert, in her official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C.
16	§ 441a(f) by knowingly accepting \$75,300 in contributions designated for the general
17	election from individuals and multicandidate committees that had already contributed the
18	maximum amount allowable for the 2008 primary election, which became excessive as of
19	the date the candidate lost the primary, and 11 C.F.R. §§ 102.9(e)(3), 110.1(b)(3)(i), and
20	110.2(b)(3)(i) by failing to refund, redesignate, or reattribute an additional \$38,696.50 in

MUR 6235
Factual and Legal Analysis
Page 2 of 6

- contributions designated for the general election from contributors who did not contribute
- 2 the maximum allowable for the 2008 primary election.

3 II. FACTUAL SUMMARY

- 4 Beginning in mid-2007 through mid-2008, the Committee reported general
- 5 election contributions from 20 individuals totaling \$20,996.50, 34 political action
- 6 committees totaling \$92,000, and one "communication cost group" (i.e., a trade
- 7 association reporting approximation costs on Field Form 7) tetaling \$1,000. As noted
- 8 above, Camera lost the primary election on June 24, 2008. On October 56, 2008, the
- 9 Commission sent the Committee a Request for Additional Information ("RFAI")
- 10 referencing the Committee's 2008 July Quarterly Report. The RFAI questioned the
- 11 Committee's receipt of certain general election contributions that were reported on
- 12 several of the Committee's 2007 and 2008 FEC Reports and requested that the
- 13 Committee take corrective action. On November 14, 2008, the Committee filed an
- 14 amended 2008 July Quarterly Report, but the Amended Report failed to address the
- 15 contributions received for the general election. The Committee has taken no further
- 16 action with respect to these contributions.
- 17 On January 28, 2009, the Committee was matified that this matter would be
- 18 referred for further action by the Commission.

19 III. LEGAL ANALYSIS

- The Committee accepted \$113,996.50 in contributions from individuals and
- 21 multicandidate political action committees ("PACs") that were designated for the 2008
- 22 general election. Under the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the
- 23 Act"), an individual may not make a contribution to a candidate in excess of the limits at

- 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(1)(A) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(b)(1), set at \$2,300 per election during
- 2 the 2008 election cycle, and multicandidate political action committees may not make
- 3 contributions in excess of \$5,000 per election, See 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(2)(A). Candidates
- 4 and political committees are prohibited from knowingly accepting contributions in excess
- of the limitations in section 441a, See 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f). A primary election, general
- 6 election, runoff election, and special election are all considered an "election" under the
- 7 Act, ane 2 U.S.C. § 431(1)(A): 11 C.F.R. § 100.2, and contribution limits are applied
- separately with respect to each election. See 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(j).
- The Commission's regulations permit a committee to accept contributions for the
- 10 general election prior to the primary election, but the committee must employ an
- acceptable accounting method to distinguish between primary and general election
- 12 contributions. See 11 C.F.R. § 102.9(e)(1). An authorized committee's records must
- 13 demonstrate that prior to the primary election, the committee's recorded cash on hand
- 14 was at all times equal to or in excess of the sum of general election contributions received
- 15 less the sum of general election disbursements made. See 11 C.F.R. § 102.9(e)(2). If,
- 16 however, the candidate loses the primary election and done not otherwise may in the
- 17 general election, the committee meant, within 60 days; (1) refund the contributions
- 18 designated for the general election; (2) redesignate such contributions in accordance with
- 19 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.1(b)(5) or 110.2(b)(5); or (3) neattribute such contributions in
- 20 accordance with 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(k)(3). See 11 C.F.R. §§ 102.9(e)(3), 110.1(b)(3)(i),
- 21 110.2(b)(3)(i).
- 22 Because a committee does not have actual notice of the need to obtain
- 23 redesignations until the results of the primary are known, if a candidate loses the primary

MUR 6235 Factual and Legal Analysis Page 4 of 6

- election but has accepted a contribution designated for the general election before the
- 2 primary, the committee has 60 days from the date of the primary election to refund,
- redesignate, or reattribute such contribution. 11 C.F.R. § 102.9(e)(3); see AO 1992-15
- 4 (Russo for Congress Committee) at 2 ("Nonetheless, the Commission concludes that for
- 5 losing primary candidates, like Mr. Russo, who receive contributions before the primary
- 6 election that are designated for the general election, relicionation within 60 days of the
- 7 primary election date would be permissible."). See also AO 2007-03 (Obama for
- 8 America) at 3 ("If a candidate fails to qualify for the general election, any contributions
- 9 designated for the general election that have been received from contributors who have
- already reached their contribution limit for the primary election would exceed FECA's
- 11 contribution limits."). Redesignation of general election contributions may only occur to
- 12 the extent that the amount redesignated does not exceed the contributor's contribution
- limit for the primary and the amounts redesignated do not exceed the net debts
- outstanding from the primary. See 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.1(b)(5)(iii) and (b)(3)(i),
- 15 110.2(b)(5)(lii) and (b)(3)(i); see also AO 1992-15 at 2. A committee's net debts
- 16 outstanding are calculated, in relevant part, based on the total amount of debts and
- 17 Obligations incurred for an election, less the total cash on hand available to pay the debts
- and obligations, and any amounts owed to the committee: 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(b)(3)(ii). If
- 19 a committee deposits contributions that exceed its net debts outstanding, it must, within
- 20 60 days of accepting the excessive contributions, refund, redesignate, or reattribute the
- 21 excessive contributions. 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.1(b)(3)(i), 110.2(b)(3)(i), see also 11 C.F.R.
- 22 §§ 110.1(b)(5) and 110.1(k)(3). Likewise, reattribution of a general election contribution

- may only occur to the extent that such attribution does not exceed the contributor's
- 2 contribution limits. See 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(k)(3)(ii)(B)(1).
- In this case, the Committee accepted contributions totaling \$113,996.50 that were
- 4 designated for the 2008 general election, but that were not redesignated, reattributed or
- refunded within 60 days after the candidate's primary loss. See 11 C.F.R. § 102.9(e)(3).
- 6 Of this amount, it appears that the Committee appeared \$75,300 in contributions from
- 7 individuals and multicardidate political action committees ("PACs") that had almody
- 8 contributed the maximum amount allowable for the primary election, and therefore these
- 9 contributions designated for the general election became excessive when the candidate
- lost the primary. See 11 C.F.R. § 102.9(e). The Committee could not redesignate these
- 11 general election contributions to the 2008 primary election because the contributors had
- 12 already contributed the maximum amount allowable for the primary election. Moreover,
- 13 reattribution of the Committee's general election contributions would not remedy the
- 14 Committee's acceptance of a contribution designated for an election in which Cannon
- was not participating. See 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(k)(3). The remaining amount, \$38,696.50.
- 16 came from individuals and PACs that fild not contribute the manimum amount allowable
- 17 for the primary election, but which was not redesignated, reattributed, or refunded as
- 18 required under 11 C.F.R. § 102.9(e). Also, assending to disclosure reports, the
- 19 Committee spent nearly all of its money on the primary election and, thus, did not have
- 20 sufficient funds to make the necessary refunds.

¹ The Committee reported \$3,455.68 in cash on hand in its 2008 October Quarterly Report and \$2,572.27 cash on hand in its 2008 Year End Report.

MUR 6235 Factual and Legal Analysis Page 6 of 6

- Based upon the foregoing, the Commission finds reason to believe that Cannon
- 2 for Congress and Lynn Gilbert, in her official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C.
- 3 § 441a(f) by knowingly accepting \$75,300 in contributions designated for the general
- 4 election from individuals and multicandidate committees that had already contributed the
- 5 maximum amount allowable for the 2008 primary election, which became excessive as of
- 6 the date the candidate lost the primary, and 11 C.F.R. 46 102.9(e)(3), 110.1(b)(3)(i), and
- 7 110.2(b)(3)(i) by failing to refund, redesignate, or reattribute an additional \$38,696.50 in
- 8 contributions designated for the general election from contributors who did not contribute
- 9 the maximum allowable for the 2008 primary election.